

TO VOTE:
FILL IN THE BOX NEXT TO YOUR
CHOICE(S) LIKE THIS:



Correct

Incorrect

STRAIGHT PARTY

A straight party vote is a vote for all
candidates of that party in partisan races.

STRAIGHT PARTY VOTING

(Vote for One)

REPUBLICAN



LIBERTARIAN



DEMOCRATIC



PRESIDENTIAL

**ELECTORS FOR
PRESIDENT
AND VICE PRESIDENT**

(Vote for One)

**FOR PRESIDENT AND
VICE PRESIDENT
DONALD J. TRUMP
MICHAEL R. PENCE**
REPUBLICAN

**FOR PRESIDENT AND
VICE PRESIDENT
JO JORGENSEN
JEREMY SPIKE COHEN**
LIBERTARIAN

**FOR PRESIDENT AND
VICE PRESIDENT
JOSEPH R. BIDEN
KAMALA D. HARRIS**
DEMOCRAT

**FOR PRESIDENT AND
VICE PRESIDENT
JADE SIMMONS
CLAUDELIAH J. ROZE**
INDEPENDENT

**FOR PRESIDENT AND
VICE PRESIDENT
KANYE WEST
MICHELLE TIDBALL**
INDEPENDENT

**FOR PRESIDENT AND
VICE PRESIDENT
BROCK PIERCE
KARLA BALLARD**
INDEPENDENT

STATE OFFICERS

**FOR CORPORATION
COMMISSIONER**

(Vote for One)

TODD HIETT
REPUBLICAN

TODD HAGOPIAN
LIBERTARIAN

CONGRESSIONAL OFFICERS

**FOR UNITED STATES
SENATOR**

(Vote for One)

JIM INHOFE
REPUBLICAN

ROBERT MURPHY
LIBERTARIAN

ABBY BROYLES
DEMOCRAT

JOAN FARR
INDEPENDENT

A. D. NESBIT
INDEPENDENT

**FOR UNITED STATES
REPRESENTATIVE
DISTRICT 03**

(Vote for One)

FRANK D. LUCAS
REPUBLICAN

ZOE MIDYETT
DEMOCRAT

**LEGISLATIVE, DISTRICT, AND
COUNTY OFFICERS**

**FOR COUNTY
COMMISSIONER DISTRICT
NO. 2**

(Vote for One)

STEVE TALBURT
REPUBLICAN

JOE WILLIAMS
DEMOCRAT

JUDICIAL RETENTION

Vote separately on each justice or judge;
they are not running against each other.

**JUSTICES OF THE OKLAHOMA
SUPREME COURT**

**SUPREME COURT
DISTRICT 1**

Shall MATTHEW JOHN KANE, IV of the
OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT be retained
in office?

YES

NO

**SUPREME COURT
DISTRICT 6**

Shall TOM COLBERT of the OKLAHOMA
SUPREME COURT be retained in office?

YES

NO

**SUPREME COURT
DISTRICT 9**

Shall RICHARD B. DARBY of the OKLAHOMA
SUPREME COURT be retained in office?

YES

NO

**JUDGES OF THE OKLAHOMA
COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

**COURT OF CRIMINAL
APPEALS DISTRICT 2**

Shall ROBERT L. HUDSON of the
OKLAHOMA COURT OF CRIMINAL
APPEALS be retained in office?

YES

NO

**COURT OF CRIMINAL
APPEALS DISTRICT 3**

Shall GARY L. LUMPKIN of the OKLAHOMA
COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS be retained
in office?

YES

NO

**JUDGES OF THE OKLAHOMA
COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS**

**COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS
DISTRICT 1 - OFFICE 2**

Shall JANE P. WISEMAN of the OKLAHOMA
COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in
office?

YES

NO

**COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS
DISTRICT 2 - OFFICE 1**

Shall DEBORAH B. BARNES of the
OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be
retained in office?

YES

NO

**COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS
DISTRICT 2 - OFFICE 2**

Shall KEITH RAPP of the OKLAHOMA
COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in
office?

YES

NO

SAMPLE

SAMPLE

STATE QUESTIONS

**STATE QUESTION NO. 805
INITIATIVE PETITION NO.
421**

This measure seeks to add a new Article II-A to the Oklahoma Constitution. This new Article excepts and does not apply to persons who have ever been convicted of a violent felony. It would prohibit the use of a former felony conviction to increase the statutorily allowable base range of punishment for a person subsequently convicted of a felony. Individuals who are currently incarcerated for felony sentences that were enhanced based on one or more former felony convictions, and whose sentences are greater than the maximum sentence that may currently be imposed for such felonies, may seek sentence modification in court. The new Article sets forth a detailed process for such sentence modification, including but not limited to requirements for a hearing, appointment of counsel for indigent petitioners, and notification of victims, and requires that the court impose a modified sentence no greater than the current maximum sentence which may be imposed on a person convicted of the same felony with no former felony convictions, and which results in no greater time served in prison than under the original sentence. It establishes an appeal procedure, provides an effective date, and contains a severability clause.

SHALL THE PROPOSAL BE APPROVED?

FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES

AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO

**STATE QUESTION NO. 814
LEGISLATIVE
REFERENDUM NO. 375**

This measure seeks to amend Article 10, Section 40 of the Oklahoma Constitution (Section 40), which directs proceeds from the State's settlements with or judgments against tobacco companies. Currently, Section 40 directs 75% of proceeds to the Tobacco Settlement Endowment Trust Fund (TSET Fund), where earnings may only be used for tobacco prevention programs, cancer research, and other such programs to maintain or improve the health of Oklahomans. Meanwhile, the remaining 25% of proceeds are directed to a separate fund for the Legislature (Legislative Fund). The Legislature can also direct some of that 25% to the Attorney General.

This measure amends Section 40 to reduce the percentage of proceeds that go into the TSET Fund from 75% to 25%. As a result, the remaining 75% will go to the Legislative Fund and the Legislature may continue to direct a portion to the Attorney General.

The measure would also restrict the use of the Legislative Fund. Section 40 currently states only that the Legislative Fund is subject to legislative appropriation. If this measure passes, money from the Legislative Fund must be used to get federal matching funds for Oklahoma's Medicaid Program.

SHALL THE PROPOSAL BE APPROVED?

FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES

AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO

SAMPLE

SAMPLE