


**TO VOTE:**  
FILL IN THE BOX NEXT TO YOUR  
CHOICE(S) LIKE THIS:



**STRAIGHT PARTY**

A straight party vote is a vote for all  
candidates of that party in partisan races.

**STRAIGHT PARTY VOTING**

(Vote for One)

**REPUBLICAN**



**LIBERTARIAN**



**DEMOCRATIC**



**PRESIDENTIAL**

**ELECTORS FOR  
PRESIDENT  
AND VICE PRESIDENT**

(Vote for One)

**FOR PRESIDENT AND  
VICE PRESIDENT  
DONALD J. TRUMP  
MICHAEL R. PENCE**  
REPUBLICAN

**FOR PRESIDENT AND  
VICE PRESIDENT  
JO JORGENSEN  
JEREMY SPIKE COHEN**  
LIBERTARIAN

**FOR PRESIDENT AND  
VICE PRESIDENT  
JOSEPH R. BIDEN  
KAMALA D. HARRIS**  
DEMOCRAT

**FOR PRESIDENT AND  
VICE PRESIDENT  
JADE SIMMONS  
CLAUDELIAH J. ROZE**  
INDEPENDENT

**FOR PRESIDENT AND  
VICE PRESIDENT  
KANYE WEST  
MICHELLE TIDBALL**  
INDEPENDENT

**FOR PRESIDENT AND  
VICE PRESIDENT  
BROCK PIERCE  
KARLA BALLARD**  
INDEPENDENT

**STATE OFFICERS**

**FOR CORPORATION  
COMMISSIONER**

(Vote for One)

**TODD HIETT**  
REPUBLICAN

**TODD HAGOPIAN**  
LIBERTARIAN

**CONGRESSIONAL OFFICERS**

**FOR UNITED STATES  
SENATOR**

(Vote for One)

**JIM INHOFE**  
REPUBLICAN

**ROBERT MURPHY**  
LIBERTARIAN

**ABBY BROYLES**  
DEMOCRAT

**JOAN FARR**  
INDEPENDENT

**A. D. NESBIT**  
INDEPENDENT

**FOR UNITED STATES  
REPRESENTATIVE  
DISTRICT 03**

(Vote for One)

**FRANK D. LUCAS**  
REPUBLICAN

**ZOE MIDYETT**  
DEMOCRAT

**LEGISLATIVE, DISTRICT, AND  
COUNTY OFFICERS**

**FOR STATE  
REPRESENTATIVE  
DISTRICT 66**

(Vote for One)

**JADINE NOLLAN**  
REPUBLICAN

**GREG LAIRD**  
DEMOCRAT

**JUDICIAL RETENTION**

Vote separately on each justice or judge;  
they are not running against each other.

**JUSTICES OF THE OKLAHOMA  
SUPREME COURT**

**SUPREME COURT  
DISTRICT 1**

Shall MATTHEW JOHN KANE, IV of the  
OKLAHOMA SUPREME COURT be retained  
in office?

**YES**

**NO**

**SUPREME COURT  
DISTRICT 6**

Shall TOM COLBERT of the OKLAHOMA  
SUPREME COURT be retained in office?

**YES**

**NO**

**SUPREME COURT  
DISTRICT 9**

Shall RICHARD B. DARBY of the OKLAHOMA  
SUPREME COURT be retained in office?

**YES**

**NO**

**JUDGES OF THE OKLAHOMA  
COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS**

**COURT OF CRIMINAL  
APPEALS DISTRICT 2**

Shall ROBERT L. HUDSON of the  
OKLAHOMA COURT OF CRIMINAL  
APPEALS be retained in office?

**YES**

**NO**

**COURT OF CRIMINAL  
APPEALS DISTRICT 3**

Shall GARY L. LUMPKIN of the OKLAHOMA  
COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS be retained  
in office?

**YES**

**NO**

**JUDGES OF THE OKLAHOMA  
COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS**

**COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS  
DISTRICT 1 - OFFICE 2**

Shall JANE P. WISEMAN of the OKLAHOMA  
COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in  
office?

**YES**

**NO**

**COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS  
DISTRICT 2 - OFFICE 1**

Shall DEBORAH B. BARNES of the  
OKLAHOMA COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be  
retained in office?

**YES**

**NO**

**COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS  
DISTRICT 2 - OFFICE 2**

Shall KEITH RAPP of the OKLAHOMA  
COURT OF CIVIL APPEALS be retained in  
office?

**YES**

**NO**

SAMPLE

SAMPLE

STATE QUESTIONS

**STATE QUESTION NO. 805  
INITIATIVE PETITION NO.  
421**

This measure seeks to add a new Article II-A to the Oklahoma Constitution. This new Article excepts and does not apply to persons who have ever been convicted of a violent felony. It would prohibit the use of a former felony conviction to increase the statutorily allowable base range of punishment for a person subsequently convicted of a felony. Individuals who are currently incarcerated for felony sentences that were enhanced based on one or more former felony convictions, and whose sentences are greater than the maximum sentence that may currently be imposed for such felonies, may seek sentence modification in court. The new Article sets forth a detailed process for such sentence modification, including but not limited to requirements for a hearing, appointment of counsel for indigent petitioners, and notification of victims, and requires that the court impose a modified sentence no greater than the current maximum sentence which may be imposed on a person convicted of the same felony with no former felony convictions, and which results in no greater time served in prison than under the original sentence. It establishes an appeal procedure, provides an effective date, and contains a severability clause.

SHALL THE PROPOSAL BE APPROVED?

**FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES**

**AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO**

**STATE QUESTION NO. 814  
LEGISLATIVE  
REFERENDUM NO. 375**

This measure seeks to amend Article 10, Section 40 of the Oklahoma Constitution (Section 40), which directs proceeds from the State's settlements with or judgments against tobacco companies. Currently, Section 40 directs 75% of proceeds to the Tobacco Settlement Endowment Trust Fund (TSET Fund), where earnings may only be used for tobacco prevention programs, cancer research, and other such programs to maintain or improve the health of Oklahomans. Meanwhile, the remaining 25% of proceeds are directed to a separate fund for the Legislature (Legislative Fund). The Legislature can also direct some of that 25% to the Attorney General.

This measure amends Section 40 to reduce the percentage of proceeds that go into the TSET Fund from 75% to 25%. As a result, the remaining 75% will go to the Legislative Fund and the Legislature may continue to direct a portion to the Attorney General.

The measure would also restrict the use of the Legislative Fund. Section 40 currently states only that the Legislative Fund is subject to legislative appropriation. If this measure passes, money from the Legislative Fund must be used to get federal matching funds for Oklahoma's Medicaid Program.

SHALL THE PROPOSAL BE APPROVED?

**FOR THE PROPOSAL - YES**

**AGAINST THE PROPOSAL - NO**

SAMPLE

SAMPLE